

2/216 - 9510

**Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/718,640

Applicant(s)

SHIGEMURA ET AL.

Examiner

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL

Art Unit

2879

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL.(3) NATALIE WALFORD.(2) RANDALL SVIHILA.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 February 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]  
 FAXED

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 51.Identification of prior art discussed: Yokoi reference.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr Svihla explained the disclosed invention and gave reasons as to why he believed that Yokoi reference did not support the outstanding rejection. Examiner Walford agreed to consider the arguments when formally filed..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

NEW  
2/3/09

/NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2879